



Planning Act 2008 – section 91

Application by Highways England for an Order Granting Development Consent for the A303 Amesbury to Berwick Down

Agenda for Issue Specific Hearing 9 dealing with matters relating to traffic and transportation

The Examining Authority (ExA) notified Interested Parties (IPs) in its letter dated 26 July 2019 of the decision to hold an Issue Specific Hearing (ISH) into the above matters on the following date:

Hearing	Date and time	Location
Issue Specific Hearing 9 Traffic and transportation	22 August 2019 10.00am (seating available from 9.30am)	City Hall, Malthouse Lane, Salisbury, SP2 7TU

Participation, conduct and management of hearing

Oral submissions on other subject matters or from persons who are not IPs may only be heard at the discretion of the ExA.

The following IPs are invited, in particular, to attend and participate in this hearing:

- The Applicant;
- Wiltshire Council;
- The Trail Riders Fellowship (TRF);
- The British Horse Society;
- Wiltshire Ramblers;
- Cycling Opportunities Group for Salisbury (COGS);
- Green Lane Association (GLASS);
- Green Lanes Environmental Action Movement (GLEAM);
- The Stonehenge Alliance;
- The National Trust;
- The English Heritage Trust;
- Historic England;
- Barry Garwood;
- Lois Lloyd;
- King Arthur Pendragon;
- Rollo Maughling; and
- Simon Banton.



The Planning Inspectorate

The named persons have been invited for the following reasons:

- As public bodies with policy and regulatory responsibilities associated with the subject matter;
- as national and local authorities for the affected area; or
- as parties with another special interest.

Participation in the hearing is subject to the ExA's power to control the hearing. IPs may be invited to make oral representations at the hearing (subject to the ExA's power to control the hearing). Oral representations should be based on the Relevant Representations or Written Representations made by the person by whom (or on whose behalf) the oral representations are made.

However, representations made at the hearing should not simply repeat matters previously covered in a written submission. Rather, they should draw attention to those submissions in summary form and provide further detail, explanation and evidential corroboration to help inform the ExA.

The ExA may ask questions about representations or ask the Applicant or other party to comment or respond. The ExA will probe, test and assess the evidence through direct questioning of persons making oral representations. Questioning at the hearing will therefore be led by a member of the Panel, supported by other Panel members.

This agenda is for guidance only. It is not designed to be exclusive or exhaustive. The ExA may add other issues for consideration, may alter the order in which issues are considered and will seek to allocate sufficient time to each issue to allow proper consideration of them.

Any lack of discussion of a particular issue at a hearing does not preclude further examination of that issue.

Should the consideration of the issues take less time than anticipated, the ExA may conclude the hearing as soon as all relevant contributions have been made and all questions asked and responded to. Some of the issues identified in the agenda are by their nature overlapping. It may be the case therefore that certain questions later on in the agenda are answered by earlier questions. If this is the case the ExA will acknowledge this at the time.

If there are additional matters to be dealt with or there are submissions that take a considerable amount of time, there may be a need to continue the session for longer on the day or continue the hearing at a subsequent sitting. Breaks will be taken during the hearing as directed by the ExA.

All parties should note that the agenda given below is to provide a framework for this hearing and offer discussion points; it does not constrain the ExA to specific topics. The ExA may wish to raise other matters arising from submissions and pursue lines of inquiry in the course of the discussions which are not included in this agenda.

References in square brackets [] are to the unique document identification number in the Examination Library. This document is found on the National Infrastructure Planning website at:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/projects/TR010025/TR010025-000484-Stonehenge%20-%20Examination%20Library%20Template.pdf>



AGENDA

1. **OPENING REMARKS BY THE EXAMINING AUTHORITY**
2. **INTRODUCTIONS**
3. **APPLICANT'S PROPOSED CHANGES [[AS-067](#)]**
 - 3.1 **NMC-01:** Existing A303 layby west of Winterbourne Stoke to be de-trunked.
 - 3.2 **NMC-02:** Countess roundabout to be de-trunked.
 - 3.3 **NMC-03:** Declassification of existing A303 between Winterbourne Stoke and Berwick Down.
 - 3.4 **NMC-04:** Provision of turning head on old Stonehenge Road.
 - 3.5 **NMC-05:** Revised proposal for access to land next to the existing A360 north of Longbarrow.
 - 3.6 **NMC-07:** Additional private means of access to Earl's Down Field.
 - 3.7 **NMC-08:** Revised means of access off the new restricted byway south of Green Bridge No. 2.
 - 3.8 **NMC-06:** Amendment to Public Right of Way (PRoW) to Stonehenge visitor centre (only to be pursued if agreement can be reached with landowner without recourse to Compulsory Acquisition).
 - 3.9 Merits of original proposal for new restricted byway alongside A360, in the event that NMC-06 cannot proceed.
4. **TRAIL RIDERS FELLOWSHIP'S (TRF) PROPOSED CHANGES [[REP4-058](#)]**
 - 4.1 **Amendment 1:** To avoid extinguishment of link between byways AMES11 and AMES12 – Amend Part 1 of Schedule 3 of the draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) to create a new length of Byway Open to All Traffic (BOAT) between AMES11 and AMES12. Alternatively amend the extent of stopping up of the A303 in Part 1 of Schedule 3 of the dDCO so that it does not stop up the road between the byways and specifies a width.
 - 4.2 **Amendment 2:** To ensure motorcycle-only use of the link; prohibition of use by motorised vehicles except for invalid carriages and two-wheeled motor vehicles.
 - 4.3 **Amendment 3:** To retain use of the A303 for small capacity vehicles ie creation of a BOAT rather than a restricted byway, subject to a prohibition of vehicles, except motorcycles with a capacity of less than 50cc.
 - 4.4 **Amendment 4:** To retain use of the A303 for motorcycles only.
 - 4.5 Materiality of the TRF's proposed changes.



The Planning Inspectorate

- 4.6** Evidence of harm to cultural heritage, landscape, tranquillity and other potential impacts arising from proposed changes.
- 4.7** Evidence of benefits/ need for the TRF's proposed changes.
- 4.8** Implications of omitting link for motorised traffic and specifically motorcycles between byways AMES11 and AMES12 for European Convention on Human Rights Articles 9 and 14 and the Equality Act 2010, with particular regard to disability discrimination.
- 4.9** Safety considerations.
- 4.10** Implications of omitting proposed link for s136(i) of the Planning Act 2008, s130 of the Highways Act 1980 and para 5.185 of the National Policy Statement for National Networks.
- 4.11** Evidence of benefits/ need for the Highways England's proposed restricted byway along the route of the A303 from Longbarrow to Stonehenge Road.

5. ANY OTHER MATTERS

6. CLOSE OF HEARING